Project Name: Project Management Portal (PMP)	
OCIO Project #:	Canaant Statement
Department of Transportation (Caltrans)	Concept Statement
Revision Date: 10/12/10	
Description	
Brief description of the proposed project:	
Examine the merits of developing an enterprise system to replace current PMCS, PMDW, at	nd EVRS.
Need Statement	
High Level Capabilities Needed:	
Up-to-date automated system that provides access to dependable information for the efficier	nt and effective management of the Caltrans project portfolio.
What is Driving This Need?	
The need to mitigate risk in the management of the Caltrans project portfolio due to lack of a	ccess to required information.
Risk to the Organization if This Work is Not Done:	
Continue existing process, accepting shortcomings and inefficiencies of existing applications	

Concept Statement Page 1 of 7

Project Name: Project Management Portal (PMP)				
OCIO Project #:	0 1011			
Department: Department of Transportation (Caltrans)	Concept Statement			
Revision Date: 10/12/10	- -			
Benefit Statement				
Intangible Benefits				
Process Improvements (describe the nature of the process improvement):				
TBD.				
Other Intangible Benefits:				
TBD.				
Tangible Benefits				
Revenue Generation (describe how revenue will be generated):				
TBD.				
<u> </u>				
Cost Savings (describe how cost will be reduced):				
TBD.				

Concept Statement Page 2 of 7

Describe the nature of the impact:

Project Name: Project Management Portal (PMP) OCIO Project #: Department: Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Revision Date: 10/12/10			Concept Stater		
Cost Avoidance (describe the TBD.	e cost and how avoided):				
IBU.					
Risk Avoidance (describe the	risk and how avoided):				
			_		
Improved Services:					
TBD.					
TBD.					
TBD.		Consistency			
TBD. "No" Responses	→	Consistency Rationale	Action Required		
	Yes		Action Required		
"No" Responses			Action Required		
"No" Responses Enterprise Architecture	Yes		Action Required		
"No" Responses Enterprise Architecture Business Plan	Yes Yes		Action Required		

Concept Statement

Page 3 of 7

Project Name: Project Management Portal (PMP)				
OCIO Project #:	Concept Statement			
Department: Department of Transportation (Caltrans)	Concept Statement			
Revision Date: 10/12/10				
TBD.				
Entity:				
Describe the nature of the impact:				
Entity:				
Describe the nature of the impact:				
become the induce of the impass.				
Entity:				
Describe the nature of the impact:				

Concept Statement Page 4 of 7

Project Name: Project Management Portal (PMP)			
OCIO Project #: Department: Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Revision Date: 10/12/10		Concept Statemer	
10/12/10			
		Solution Alt	ternatives
To Be Determined in the Feasibilit	v Ctudy	Alte	ernative 1:
To be Determined in the reasibilit	y Study		
		Technical Conside	erations for Alternative 1:
ROM Cost:	\$50,001 to	\$500,000	Note: high end of range must not exceed 200% of low end of range
TKOM COOK	ψου,συ:	φοσο,σσσ	neter mgm end er range maet net excessa 200 // er ten end er range
		Alte	ernative 2:
		Fachwisel Cameida	austions for Alternative O.
		i echnicai Conside	erations for Alternative 2:
ROM Cost:			Note: high and of range must not exceed 2009/ of law and of range
KOIVI COSt.	to		Note: high end of range must not exceed 200% of low end of range
		Alte	ernative 3:
		7.1100	

Concept Statement Page 5 of 7

OCIO Project #: Department: Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Revision Date: 10/12/10			Concept Stateme		
	Technical Consic	derations for Alternative	3:		
ROM Cost:	to	Note: nigh end	d of range must not exceed 200% of low end of range		
	Recomm	nendation			
Comparison:					
Comparison: Alternative 1	ROM Cost	1	Risk		
Alternative 1	ROM Cost \$50,001 -	\$500,000			
-	ROM Cost \$50,001 - ROM Cost	\$500,000	Risk Risk		
Alternative 1	ROM Cost \$50,001 -	1			

Concept Statement Page 6 of 7

Project Name: Project Management Portal (PMP) OCIO Project #: Department: Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Revision Date: 10/12/10				Concept Statement			
Recommend	ation:						
		Concept App	proach (if known)				
System	Complexity:		System Business Ho	ours: (e.g., 24x7, 9am-5pm) :	To Be Dete	rmined in the Feasibili	ty Study
Architecture	□ Mainframe	Client Server	□ Web Based	b Based Num. of New Data		of New Databases:	
Technology	□ New	☐ New to Staff	☐ In-House Expe	perience Interfa		Interfaces:	<u> </u>
Implementation	☐ Central Site	☐ Phased Roll-out			Num. of Sites:		l
M & O Support	□ Contractor	☐ Data Center	☐ Project	☐ Returned to Spor	sor		
Procurement App	roach: (consult with OSI	Procurement Center)				Number of Procur	ements:
Open Procureme	nt?	Delegated Procurement?					
Scope of Contrac	t □ Develop	ment	□ M & O	☐ Other:			
Anticipated Lengt	h of Contract:	Years /		extensions for	years		

Concept Statement Page 7 of 7